Reading the Bible

Using both sides of the brain
Exegetical method

- Thinking/ analytic approach
- “The rational, cognitive, intellectual dynamics of our being go into full operation” (21)
- The text is “out there”
- Is Mulholland against all rationality?
- “there is an imbalance” (23)

P. 58 overhead.
Hebrews 1:1

In the past God spoke to our forefathers through the prophets
Human word/divine word – dual nature of Scripture
What is necessary to understand Bible in its human element?
Is this sufficient, or even reading Scripture from its own viewpoint?
Amos 5:21-24 – against religious ritual?

3. Exegetical analysis.
Not just historical, literary, contemporary document, though it is all of those. Need HS for the word of God side.
Not against all ritual; wants both right action and right relationship.
D. Stuart’s exegetical steps

- Which step would address by Mulholland’s concern?
- Knowing what good is is insufficient.
- Understand the text---is it true or false---so what for me?
- “The great end of life is not knowledge, but action” T.H. Huxley

1. Step 12- application
2. Powder River story
3. Small group discussion.